#### BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PITTSBURG

In the Matter of:

Adopt Resolution Establishing the	)	
Appropriations Limit for the Fiscal Year	)	RESOLUTION NO. 17-13332
2017-18 in Accordance with	)	
Proposition III and Article XIII (B)	_)	

The Pittsburg City Council DOES RESOLVE as follows:

WHEREAS, Article XIII (B) of the California Constitution Proposition 4 establishes expenditure limits for cities; and

WHEREAS, State-implementing legislation (Government Code Section 7910) requires the City of Pittsburg to annually adopt a resolution establishing its Appropriations Limit for the following fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, effective FY 1990-91 Proposition III has amended Article XIII (B) to allow a selection of annual adjustment factors (price and population) which must also be adopted at a regularly scheduled meeting; and

WHEREAS, the City selected the County's population change and the change in California per Capita Personal Income factors to compute the Appropriations Limit; and

WHEREAS, the Division Manager – Accounting has made the calculations specified in said Law and concludes that the appropriations subject to limitation is the sum of one hundred fifty two million, six hundred eight seven thousand, twenty five dollars (\$152,687,025); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to said law the calculations have been made available to the public for two (2) weeks prior to the date of the adoption of this resolution. A copy of the calculation is on file in the City of Pittsburg Finance Department.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council finds and determines as follows:

#### Section 1.

The recitals set forth are true and correct statements and hereby incorporated.

#### Section 2.

The City Council does hereby authorize and approve that the Fiscal Year 2017-18 Appropriations Limit of the City of Pittsburg is established at \$152,687,025 using the County's Population Change and the change in the California per Capita Personal Income factors; and

### Section 3.

That this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Pittsburg at a regular meeting on the 19th of June, 2017, by the following vote:

AYES:

Banales, Evola, Killings, Longmire, Craft

NOES:

None

ABSTAINED:

None

ABSENT:

None

Marilyn Craft, Mayor

ATTEST:

Alice E. Evenson, City Clerk

STATE CAPITOL B ROOM 1145 B SACRAMENTO CA B 95814-4998 B WWW.DDF.CA.GOV

May 2017

Dear Fiscal Officer:

Subject: Price Factor and Population Information

**Appropriations Limit** 

The California Revenue and Taxation Code, section 2227, requires the Department of Finance (Finance) to transmit an estimate of the percentage change in population to local governments. Each local jurisdiction must use their percentage change in population factor for January 1, 2017, in conjunction with a change in the cost of living, or price factor, to calculate their appropriations limit for fiscal year 2017-18. Attachment A provides the change in California's per capita personal income and an example for utilizing the price factor and population percentage change factor to calculate the 2017-18 appropriations limit. Attachment B provides the city and unincorporated county population percentage change. Attachment C provides the population percentage change for counties and their summed incorporated areas. The population percentage change data excludes federal and state institutionalized populations and military populations.

#### Population Percent Change for Special Districts

Some special districts must establish an annual appropriations limit. The Revenue and Taxation Code, section 2228 provides additional information regarding the appropriations limit. Article XIII B, section 9(C) of the California Constitution exempts certain special districts from the appropriations limit calculation mandate. The Code and the California Constitution can be accessed at the following website: http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes.xhtml.

Special districts required by law to calculate their appropriations limit must present the calculation as part of their annual audit. Any questions special districts have on this requirement should be directed to their county, district legal counsel, or the law itself. No state agency reviews the local appropriations limits.

#### **Population Certification**

The population certification program applies only to cities and counties. Revenue and Taxation Code section 11005.6 mandates Finance to automatically certify any population estimate that exceeds the current certified population with the State Controller's Office. Finance will certify the higher estimate to the State Controller by June 1, 2017.

Please Note: Prior year's city population estimates may be revised.

If you have any questions regarding this data, please contact the Demographic Research Unit at (916) 323-4086.

MICHAEL COHEN Director By:

AMY M. COSTA Chief Deputy Director

Attachment

A. **Price Factor**: Article XIII B specifies that local jurisdictions select their cost of living factor to compute their appropriation limit by a vote of their governing body. The cost of living factor provided here is per capita personal income. If the percentage change in per capita personal income is selected, the percentage change to be used in setting the fiscal year 2017-18 appropriation limit is:

### Per Capita Personal Income

Fiscal Year	Percentage change
(FY)	over prior year
2017-18	3.69

B. Following is an example using sample population change and the change in California per capita personal income as growth factors in computing a 2017-18 appropriation limit.

#### 2017-18:

Per Capita Cost of Living Change = 3.69 percent Population Change = 0.85 percent

Per Capita Cost of Living converted to a ratio:	$\frac{3.69 + 100}{100} = 1.0369$
Population converted to a ratio:	0.85 + 100 = 1.0085

Calculation of factor for FY 2017-18: 1.0369 x 1.0085 = 1.0457

100

Attachment B
Annual Percent Change in Population Minus Exclusions\*
January 1, 2016 to January 1, 2017 and Total Population, January 1, 2017

County	Percent Change	Population Minus Exclusions		Total Population	
City	2016-2017	1-1-16	1-1-17	1-1-2017	
Contra Costa					
Antioch	0.66	113,495	114,241	114,241	
Brentwood	3.38	59,058	61,055	61,055	
Clayton	0.20	11,262	11,284	11,284	
Concord	0.07	128,280	128,370	128,370	
Danville	0.16	43,287	43,355	43,355	
El Cerrito	0.45	24,490	24,600	24,600	
Hercules	3.08	24,909	25,675	25,675	
Lafayette	0.63	25,041	25,199	25,199	
Martinez	1.17	37,224	37,658	37,658	
Moraga	0.57	16,581	16,676	16,676	
Oakley	2.16	40,327	41,199	41,199	
Orinda	0.51	18,838	18,935	18,935	
Pinole	0.79	18,827	18,975	18,975	
Pittsburg	2.47	68,133	69,818	69,818	
Pleasant Hill	1.24	34,232	34,657	34,657	
Richmond	0.81	110,886	111,785	111,785	
San Pablo	0.26	30,972	31,053	31,053	
San Ramon	2.31	78,729	80,550	80,550	
Walnut Creek	0.90	70,340	70,974	70,974	
Unincorporated	0.90	171,829	173,370	173,454	
County Total	1.13	1,126,740	1,139,429	1,139,513	
The second secon					

<sup>\*</sup>Exclusions include residents on federal military installations and group quarters residents in state mental institutions, state and federal correctional institutions and veteran homes.

Attachment C

Annual Percent Change in Population Minus Exclusions\*
January 1, 2016 to January 1, 2017

County	Percent Change	Population Min	us Exclusions	
	2016-17	1-1-16	1-1-17	
Alameda				
Incorporated	1.03	1,476,184	1,491,355	
County Total	0.99	1,626,047	1,642,173	
Alpine				
Incorporated	0.00	0	0	
County Total	-0.78	1,160	1,151	
Amador				
Incorporated	6.10	12,110	12,849	
County Total	2.10	34,056	34,771	
Butte				
Incorporated	0.99	144,433	145,870	
County Total	0.76	224,703	226,404	
Calaveras				
Incorporated	-0.72	4,049	4,020	
County Total	-0.17	45,160	45,082	
Colusa				
Incorporated	0.31	11,735	11,771	
County Total	0.36	21,965	22,043	
Contra Costa				
Incorporated	1.17	954,911	966,059	
County Total	1.13	1,126,740	1,139,429	
Del Norte				
Incorporated	-5.27	4,480	4,244	
County Total	0.48	24,783	24,901	
El Dorado			V2. (7) (8) (2)	
Incorporated	0.03	31,757	31,767	
County Total	0.37	184,274	184,965	

<sup>\*</sup>Exclusions include residents on federal military installations and group quarters residents in state mental institutions, state and federal correctional institutions and veteran homes.

#### City of Pittsburg Fiscal Year 2017-18 Gann Appropriations Limit Calculations

	City Population Change	County Population Change	
Population Change as of January 1, 2017	1.0247 (1)	1.0113 <sup>(1)</sup>	
	Per Capita Change	Consumer Price Index	
Cost of Living FY 2017-18	1.0369 (1)	1.030 (2)	
FY 17-18 Gann Limit Growth Factor 1 + Larger of County and City Population		1.0247	
1 + Larger of Per Capita Change and 12-Month Change in CPI for County		x1.0369_	
INCREASE in City's Appropriations Limit	for FY 2017-18 -	1.062511	
FY 2016-17 Appropriations Limit		\$ 143,703,948 <sup>(3)</sup>	
Growth Factor		x1.062511	
FY 2017-18 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT		\$ 152,687,025	

#### NOTE:

- California Department of Finance Letter Dated May, 2017
   Bureau of Labor Statistics CPI, 2016 Avg Change SF Area (includes Contra Costa County)
- (3) FY2016-17 General Fund Appropriations Limit



# OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 65 Civic Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565

DATE:

5/31/2017

TO:

Mayor and Council Members

FROM:

Joe Sbranti, City Manager

SUBJECT:

Adopt Resolution Establishing the Appropriations Limit for the 2017-18

Fiscal Year in Accordance with Proposition III and Article XIII (B)

**MEETING DATE:** 6/19/2017

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In November 1979, the voters of California approved Proposition 4, commonly known as the Gann Initiative. This proposition created Article XIII (B) of the State Constitution placing limits on the amount of revenue which can be appropriated by all government entities in any fiscal year. The legislation mandates all governing bodies including the City of Pittsburg to annually establish the Appropriations Limit.

# FISCAL IMPACT

There is no impact to the City of Pittsburg budget. The FY 2017-18 Appropriations Limit is \$152,687,025 and will exceed the General Fund estimated spending plan of \$40.5 Million.

### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt this Resolution in compliance with the State of California legislative requirement for the City Council to annually establish the Appropriations Limit.

# BACKGROUND

In 1979, Proposition 4, known as the Gann Initiative was approved by the California voters. As a result, Article XIII (B) of the State Statute (Government Code Section 7900-10) was enacted and it requires that each year thereafter, the governing body of each local jurisdiction shall by resolution, establish its Appropriations (spending) limit for the following fiscal year. The determination of the appropriations limit is considered to be a legislative act and should be adopted at a regular council meeting.

Proposition III, approved by California voters in 1990, amended Article XIII (B) further, and Council action is necessary to implement the amendments effective for FY 2005-06 and thereafter.

The amendments of Proposition III specify that the annual adjustment factors in calculating the Appropriations Limit will be increased by:

- The change in population growth for City OR County (whichever is higher)
- The change in California Per Capita Personal Income OR

The growth in the average change in the County Consumer Price Index (whichever is higher).

Pursuant to Proposition III amendments, staff has calculated the FY 2017-18 Appropriations Limit (see attached Gann Calculations) using the City Population change and the change in California per Capita Personal Income factors. The basis for the selection methodology is that the City's Population Change is higher than the County's population growth and the change in the California Per Capita Personal Income factor is higher than the Change in the Contra Costa County Consumer Price Index. The City's Appropriations limit for FY2017-18 is \$152,687,025.

## SUBCOMMITTEE FINDINGS

N/A

## STAFF ANALYSIS

Establishing the Appropriations Limit is a State of California mandate which requires all cities to adopt a respective Resolution at the beginning of each fiscal year.

The Appropriations subject to Limitation for Fiscal Year 2017-18 is \$40.5 Million against the calculated limit of \$152.7 Million.

Appropriations subject to the limit for fiscal year 2016-17 totaled \$41.3 Million against the Adopted Limit of \$143.7 Million for that same year.

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution
California Department of Finance Letter dated May, 2017
Gann Calculations

Report Prepared By: <u>Diane Agar, Finance Division Manager</u>
<u>Brad Farmer, Director of Finance</u>