Appendix D: Biological Technical Memorandum (D.1) Wetlands Waterbody Delineation Report (D.2)



Biological Technical Memorandum

То:	H Cycle
From:	TRC Companies
Subject:	Desktop Review and Site Biological Reconnaissance Survey, Pittsburg, CA
Date:	October 12, 2023
Project No.:	557647.0000
Enclosures:	Attachment 1 – Representative Photos Attachment 2 - Federal and State Sensitive Species identified as Potentially Occurring in The Study Area

1.0 Introduction

TRC conducted a special-status species and sensitive habitats assessment for H Cycle, LLC (H Cycle). H Cycle proposes to construct a new renewable hydrogen production facility (Project) in Pittsburg, California. The Project is located in the City of Pittsburg, Contra Costa County, California. Pittsburg is an industrial suburb located south of Suisun Bay in the San Francisco Bay Area's East Bay region. The Project is located within Township 2 North, Range 1 East, Section 3. The Project encompasses approximately 24.8 acres of an inactive industrial facility (Figure 1).

This assessment evaluates the proposed Project and surrounding environs for the possible presence of special-status species and sensitive habitats subject to federal or state jurisdiction. Measures are identified in this memorandum to avoid potential impacts to sensitive biological resources. The Study Area for this habitat assessment includes the Project plus a 150-foot buffer around the Project.

2.0 Methods

2.1.1 Desktop Review

Prior to the biological field survey, publicly available natural resources data sets containing relevant information for the project vicinity were reviewed and a list of potential special-status species identified for the project vicinity was compiled. Special-status species and sensitive habitats include:

- federal and state-listed endangered and threatened species,
- federal designated critical habitats
- state designated sensitive natural communities,
- federal and state candidates for listing,
- species proposed for listing,



- state species of special concern,
- species protected under other regulations (e.g., the Migratory Bird Treaty Act),
- species listed as rare or endangered by the California Native Plant Society, and
- species that receive special consideration during environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The list of species and habitats was compiled using the following sources:

- California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB; CDFW 2023),
- United States Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC; USFWS 2023), and
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS 2023).

2.1.2 Field Survey

The field survey is a pedestrian site reconnaissance of the Study Area and surrounding environment. It is a rapid assessment to identify and characterize Study Area habitats and to document species and/or species indicators observed to be present at the time of field survey. The field survey is used to determine the Study Area's potential to support special-status species and sensitive habitats.







3.0 Results

3.1 Desktop Review

The desktop review of publicly available natural resources data sets identified 47 species with potential to occur in the vicinity of the project site. Attachment 2 summarizes the special-status species identified with potential to occur in the project vicinity along with their listing status, habitat association, and field survey results. The Project is located within an area designated by the USFWS as critical habitat for the delta smelt.

3.2 Field Survey

The Study Area included the Project plus a 150-foot buffer of surrounding habitats. TRC Biologist Tanessa Hartwig performed a field survey on August 25, 2023. The survey was conducted between 7:00 a.m. and 1:30 p.m. on foot using binoculars for identification of birds and wildlife beyond clear view by the unaided eye. Weather conditions consisted of mostly clear skies, a light breeze, and temperatures between 64 and 82° F.

The proposed Project site is an inactive industrial facility with rail yard constructed on historically placed fill material. The site is developed with gravel, unpaved, and impervious work areas, rail unloading sidings, industrial process equipment, paved vehicle staging and storage lots, and office structures present. Maintained gravel and dirt access roads are present on site. Industrial stormwater containment and treatment controls are not present allowing untreated stormwater or uncontained process waters to exit the site into the adjacent upland and wetland habitats.

The Project site is flat and appears to be built on historically placed fill material above the elevation of the surrounding estuarine wetlands (Google Earth Pro 2023). The surrounding landscape is flat with elevations in and adjacent to the Study Area of approximately 10 to 25 feet above mean sea level. Surrounding land use includes developed industrial lands to the south and west of the Project. The New York Slough, Corteva Wetlands Preserve, and Kirker Creek/Dowest Slough are estuarine wetlands immediately adjacent to the north, east, and west of the Project.

Noise and disturbance levels were low to moderate with anthropogenic noise from the railroad and industrial facilities to the south and west.

Vegetation Communities

The Project is located within the Level III region of Central California Foothills and Coastal Mountains and Level IV Ecoregion of Suisun Terraces and Low Hills (USGS 2016). The Central California Foothills and Coastal Mountains ecoregion is characterized as having a Mediterranean climate of hot dry summers and cool moist winters. The vegetation associated with this ecoregion is primarily chaparral and oak woodlands. Suisun Terraces and Low Hills ecoregion occurs on mostly Quaternary alluvium, surrounding Suisun Bay, upland from the lower part of the Delta (US EPA 2016).



Vegetation within the Study Area is primarily ruderal weeds and invasive species that include alkali mallow (*Malvella leprosa*), bristly ox-tongue* (*Helminthotheca echioides*), Canada horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis*), fivehorn smotherweed* (*Bassia hyssopifolia*) sea fig* (*Carpobrotus chilensis*), stinkwort* (*Dittrichia graveolens*), telegraph weed (*Heterotheca grandiflora*), and Mexican fan palm* (*Washingtonia robusta*). Coyote brush (*Baccharis pilularis*) was also observed within the project site. Non-native plants are marked with an asterisk.

A combination of native and non-native vegetation is located along and just outside of the Study Area's northern border. Native shrubs and trees include coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*) and coyote brush. Non-native shrubs and herbs include Himalayan blackberry* (*Rubus armeniacus*), short podded mustard* (*Hirschfeldia incana*), and perennial pepperweed* (*Lepidium latifolium*).

Off-site estuarine wetlands are present within Study Area to the north, east, and west of the Project. Since they were mostly behind fenced areas, these wetlands were observed mostly with binoculars. Off-site wetlands were comprised primarily of cattails (*Typha* sp.), common reed (*Phragmites* sp.), and bulrushes (*Schoenoplectus* sp.). At the edges of the wetlands, black willow (*Salix goodingii*), perennial pepperweed*, salt grass (*Distichlis spicata*), and alkali mallow (*Malvella leprosa*) were observed, among other native and non-native species.

Wildlife Observations

Wildlife observations within the survey area and vicinity included California harvester ant (*Pogonomyrmex californicus*), common green darner (*Anus junius*), American crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*), Anna's hummingbird (*Calypte anna*), California scrub jay (*Aphelocoma californica*), great egret (*Ardea alba*), killdeer (*Charadrius vociferus*), mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*), turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*), and wild turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*). Non-native species observed included Eurasian collared dove* (*Streptopelia decaocto*), European starling* (*Sturnus vulgaris*), and a possible nutria* (*Myocastor coypus*) that had been predated or scavenged by a coyote (*Canis latrans*), based on scat found nearby.

Other evidence of wildlife presence observed during the field survey included small animal burrows, bird nests, and owl pellets (Attachment 1). The small animal burrow entrances measured approximately 2 inches diameter in a crushed gravel surface and approximately 4-5 inches diameter in loose soils next to work area concrete slab. The burrows may continue and possibly under the work area concrete slab. Two abandoned buildings within the Project showed signs of avian use. One building contained an old passerine nest. The second building contained numerous barn owl pellets that appeared to be one to two years old, based on pellet aging guidelines (Barn Owl Trust 2023).

3.2.1.1 Sensitive Species and Habitats

No special-status species were observed during the August 25, 2023 field survey.

The Site is located within the USFWS Designated Critical Habitat for delta smelt <u>(Federally Threatened, State Endangered)</u>, an anadromous fish endemic to the upper reaches of the San Francisco Bay Bay-Delta Estuary. There is suitable estuarine wetland and slough habitat for delta smelt and longfin smelt <u>(State Threatened)</u> adjacent to the Project. The species are known to inhabit tidally influenced sloughs and edgewaters.



Wetland-Associated Special-Status Species

The adjacent wetlands and waterbodies provide potential habitat to wetland-associated species in and immediately adjacent to the Study Area. The wetlands could potentially be habitat for federally and state listed species that occur in marshes, brackish marshes and wetlands. Federally listed species that have an unlikely to low potential of occuring in these wetlands include soft salty bird's-beak (*Chloropyron molle* ssp. *molle*), giant garter snake (*Thamnophis gigas*), salt marsh harvest mouse (*Reithrodontomys raviventris*), San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*) and California Ridgeway's rail (*Rallus longirostris obsoletus*). State listed species with a low potential to occur in this area include longfin smelt (*Spirinchus thaleichthys*). CNPS and CNDDB species with low potential to occur in the Study Area include Bolander's water hemlock (*Cicuta maculata* var. *bolanderi*), Delta mudwort (*Limosella australis*), Delta tule pea (*Lathyrus jepsonii* var. *jepsonii*), Mason's lilaeopsis (*Lilaeopsis masonii*), small spikerush (*Eleocharis parvula*), and Suisun Marsh aster (*Symphyotrichum lentum*). A determination of potential for occurrence of the wetland-related species is summarized in Attachment 2.

Western Pond Turtle (Federally Proposed Threatened, State Species of Special Concern)

Western pond turtle is known to occur within 0.5 mile east and west of the Study Area. Wetlands adjacent to the Project provide suitable wetland habitat, and upland areas adjacent to and within the Project provide suitable habitat for nesting and estivation.

Migratory Birds

Potential nesting habitat for protected migratory bird species occurs in the on-site structures, dirt and gravel surfaces, scattered trees and shrubs throughout the Study Area, and the neighboring undeveloped estuarine habitats. Special-status migratory bird species having a potential to occur or nest in the Study Area are summarized in Attachment 2.

Burrowing Owl (State Species of Special Concern)

There is a low potential for burrowing owl to nest in open, dry ruderal habitat present in the Study Area, including the identified burrow sites within the industrialized but inactive Project. Presence of California ground squirrel was not confirmed within the Study Area, however several small mammal burrows were observed in the Study Area. Although a few burrows were potentially large enough for burrowing owl (4.5 inches), no burrows showed signs of use by burrowing owl (e.g., pellets, decorations, white wash, and so on). One small mammal burrow, determined inactive based on the presence of cobwebs across the entrance hole, was observed within the Project (Attachment 1, Photo 7). The grassland was viewed only through binoculars; however the vegetation was dense and tall. There are burrowing owl observations on the broader landscape with the nearest reported hotspot occurring approximately 2.7 miles south in the Black Diamond Mines Regional Preserve (eBird 2023).

White-tailed Kite (California Fully Protected Species)

There are multiple white-tailed kite observations in the Study Area vicinity with the nearest reported hotspot occurring approximately 1 mile southwest within Los Medanos Lake (eBird 2023). The scattered open-grown trees within and adjacent to the Study Area provide a low potential for white-tailed kites to nest in them.



Suisun Song Sparrow (Species of Special Concern)

Suisun Song Sparrow is known to occur in many marshes in the Suisun Bay (Shuford and Gardali 2008), and have been observed within 0.5 mile of the Study Area. Potential habitat occurs in the wetlands east and west of the Project.

3.2.2 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the field investigation, the developed but inactive industrial Project site would be wellsuited for the Project to avoid and minimize the impacts to special status species from the construction and operation of a renewable hydrogen processing facility. The following habitats were identified within or adjacent to the Study Area that could result in the need for consultation, approval, or permit:

- Trees, shrubs, and other vegetation within and adjacent to the Study Area may contain active bird nests during nesting season.
- There is a low potential of burrowing owl nesting or foraging activity within the Study Area.
- There is a low potential for white-tailed kite nesting activity in the few trees adjacent to the Study Area.
- There is a moderate potential for western pond turtle activity in the adjacent wetlands and ruderal and natural upland areas with uncompacted soils within the Study Area. Because most soils within the Project are compacted over paved, the potential for pond turtle activity within the Project is low. If the Project provides fencing and/or biological monitors during periods of likely pond turtle movement, the Project's effects on pond turtles would be negligible. May through July typically captures the pond turtle nesting season; however, consultation with CDFW is recommended to determine local seasonal movements, including overland movements for estivation. Alternatively, surveys could be conducted to confirm presence of pond turtle in the vicinity.
- The estuarine wetlands and waters within and adjacent to the Study Area could provide habitat for aquatic associated special-status species. If no ground disturbing activities would occur that directly impact wetlands or waters, then the Project would avoid direct impacts to aquatic associated species. Construction related indirect impacts (air quality, water quality, noise, etc.) would be avoided using pollution prevention best management practices as required by local and state regulations. Operations related impacts would be minimized or avoided through project engineering design, operations environmental management plans and procedures, and best management practices. Based on these anticipated criteria, the Project's effects on aquatic and wetland-associated species would likely be negligible.

If no ground-disturbing activities in or adjacent to mapped wetlands or waterbodies will occur and industrial stormwater controls and BMPs are implemented, a letter requesting concurrence with the finding that federally listed species may be affected but are not likely to be adversely affected by the Project may be submitted to the USFWS. If adjacent areas may be disturbed by



the Project, TRC recommends that presence-absence surveys be conducted by a qualified biologist according to USFWS or CDFW-accepted protocols.

The federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act protects migratory birds and their eggs and nests from hunting, capturing, killing or other taking, and the California Fish and Game Code and AB 454 prohibit these actions even if incidental to otherwise lawful activities. Therefore, compliance with existing laws protecting migratory birds and raptors would protect nesting birds. TRC recommends that a pre-construction survey for active bird nests, including Suisun song sparrow, burrowing owl, and white-tailed kite, be conducted in the area surrounding the development by a qualified biologist if construction, including staging, is to begin during nesting season (February 1 to August 31), and before work is re-initiated during nesting season if work has been discontinued for more than 14 days. Pre-construction surveys should include abandoned structures. If an active nest is identified in proximity to the work area, recommendations of a qualified biologist should be implemented following CDFW guidance to ensure compliance with applicable laws.

4.0 REFERENCES

- Barn Owl Trust. 2023. Identification Guide Owl Pellets website. Accessed at: https://www.barnowlsurvey.org.uk/portal/p/Identification+Guide+-+Owl+Pellets#:~:text=Pellet%20ageing,2%20years%20to%20fall%20apart
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2023. California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB): RareFind 5 application. Department of Fish & Wildlife Biogeographic Data Branch, Sacramento, CA. <u>https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/data/cnddb</u>. Access August 2023.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Rare Plant Program. 2023. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants (online edition, v9-01 0.0). <u>http://www.rareplants.cnps.org/</u>. Access August 2023.
- eBird. 2023. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance [web application]. eBird, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York. Available: http://www.ebird.org. Accessed in November 2022.
- Shuford, W. D., and Gardali, T., editors. 2008. California Bird Species of Special Concern: A ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate conservation concern in California. Studies of Western Birds 1. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, California, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
- TRC. 2023. Wetland and Waterbody Delineation Report, Renewable Hydrogen Production Project. Prepared for H Cycle, Mountain View, CA, October 2023.
- US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2023, Information for Planning and Conservation (IPaC). <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/</u>. Accessed August 2023.



- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2016. Ecoregions of the Southwest (Arizona, California, Nevada). U.S. EPA, National Health and Ecological Effects Research Laboratory, Western Ecology Division, Corvallis, Oregon. Map scale 1:2,000,000. https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/ecoregion-download-files-region#pane-09
- United State Geological Service (USGS). 2018. USGS US Topo 7.5-minute Map for Nicolaus, CA 2018: USGS National Geospatial Technical Operations Center (NGTOC).



Attachment 1 – Representative Photos



Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	ns Taken By: Page No.		Project Name		
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	1 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production Project	9	TRC



Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	Page No.	Client:	Project Name		
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	2 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production	1 😯	TR
	5			Project		



Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	Page No.	Client:	Project Name	
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	3 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production Project	שאו 🚱



Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	notographs Taken By: Page No. Client: Project Name			
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	4 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production Project	SLLC



Project No.Photographs Taken By:Page No.Client:Project Name557647Tanessa Hartwig5 of 3H-CycleRenewable Hydrogen Production
ProjectProject



Photo 11: Small burrow in soils near the Project.

Photo 12: Site overview through north central section of the Project existing conditions.



Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	Page No.	Client:	Project Name	
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	6 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production	
557047	Tanessa Hartwig	0015	11-Cycle	Project	



Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	Page No.	Client:	Project Name	
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	7 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production Project	IRC

Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	Page No.	Client:	Project Name	
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	8 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production Project	אד 😯



Attachment 2 – Federal and State Sensitive Species identified as Potentially Occurring in The Study Area



Federal and State Sensitive Species identified as Potentially Occurring in The Study Area

Species Name	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence
		Plants	
Abrams' lupine, <i>Lupinus albifrons var.</i> abramsii	CNPS/3.2	Broad-leafed upland forest, Chaparral, Coastal scrub, Lower montane coniferous forest, Valley and foothill grassland	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Alkali milk-vetch, Astragalus tener var. tener	CNPS/1B.2	Playas, Valley and foothill grassland, Vernal pools	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Antioch Dunes buckwheat, Eriogonum nudum var. psychicola	CNPS/1B.1	Inland dunes	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Antioch Dunes evening- primrose, <i>Oenothera deltoides ssp.</i> <i>howellii</i>	CNPS/1B.1, FE, CE	Inland dunes	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Bearded popcornflower, Plagiobothrys hystriculus	CNPS/1B.1	Valley and foothill grassland, Vernal pools	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Big tarplant, Blepharizonia plumosa	CNPS/1B.1, CNDDB	Valley and foothill grassland	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Bolander's water-hemlock, Cicuta maculata var. bolanderi	CNPS/2B.1, CNDDB	Marshes and swamps	Low: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development; however habitat does exist on adjacent land. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.
Colusa grass, <i>Neostapfia</i> colusana	FT	Vernal pools	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Contra Costa goldfields, Lasthenia conjugens	CNPS/1B.1, FE	Cismontane woodland, Playas, Valley and foothill grassland, Vernal pools	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.



Species Name	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence
Contra Costa wallflower, Erysimum capitatum var. angustatum	CNPS/1B.1, FE, CE	Inland dunes	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Crownscale, Atriplex coronata var. coronata	CNPS/4.2	Inhabits chenopod scrub, valley and foothill grassland, and vernal pools.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Delta mudwort, <i>Limosella australis</i>	CNPS/2B.1, CNDDB	Inhabits marshes, swamps, and riparian scrub.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.
Delta tule pea, <i>Lathyrus jepsonii var.</i> <i>jepsonii</i>	CNPS/1B.2, CNDDB	Grows in marshes and swamps.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.
Diamond-petaled California poppy, Eschscholzia rhombipetala	CNPS/1B.1	Found in valley and foothill grassland.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Dwarf downingia, <i>Downingia pusilla</i>	CNPS/2B.2	Found in valley and foothill grassland and vernal pools.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Fragrant fritillary, <i>Fritillaria liliacea</i>	CNPS/1B.2	Grows in cismontane woodland, Coastal prairie, Coastal scrub, and Valley and foothill grassland.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Hogwallow starfish, <i>Hesperevax caulescens</i>	CNPS/4.2	Inhabits valley and foothill grassland, and vernal pools.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Hoover's cryptantha, <i>Cryptantha hooveri</i>	CNPS/1A	Inhabits inland dunes and Valley and foothill grassland.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Keck's checkerbloom, <i>Sidalcea keckii</i>	CNPS/1B.1, FE	Grows in cismontane woodland and Valley and foothill grassland	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.



Species Name	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence			
Mason's lilaeopsis, <i>Lilaeopsis masonii</i>	CNPS/1B.1, CR	Found in marshes, swamps, and riparian scrub.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.			
Mt. Diablo buckwheat, <i>Eriogonum truncatum</i>	CNPS/1B.1	Grows in chaparral, Coastal scrub, and Valley and foothill grassland.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.			
San Joaquin spearscale, <i>Extriplex joaquinana</i>	CNPS/1B.2	Inhabits chenopod scrub, Meadows and seeps, Playas, and Valley and foothill grassland.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.			
Small spikerush, Eleocharis parvula	CNPS/4.3	Found in marshes and swamps.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.			
Small-flowered morning- glory, <i>Convolvulus simulans</i>	CNPS/4.2	Grows in chaparral, Coastal scrub, and Valley and foothill grassland.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.			
Soft salty bird's-beak, Chloropyron molle ssp. molle	CNPS/1B.2, FE, CR	Found in marshes and swamps.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.			
Stinkbells, <i>Fritillaria agrestis</i>	CNPS/4.2	Grows in chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Pinyon and juniper woodland, and Valley and foothill grassland.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.			
Suisun Marsh aster, <i>Symphyotrichum lentum</i>	CNPS/1B.2, CNDDB	Found in marshes and swamps.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.			
Sweet marsh ragwort, Senecio hydrophiloides	CNPS/4.2	Found in lower montane coniferous forest, and Meadows and seeps.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.			
Invertebrates						



Species Name	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence
California linderiella, <i>Linderiella occidentalis</i>	CNDDB	Occurs in vernal pool habitats in the Central Valley of California.	None : No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Delta green ground beetle, <i>Elaphrus viridis</i>	FT	Occurs in vernal pool habitats	None : No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
Lange's metalmark butterfly, <i>Apodemia mormo</i> <i>langei</i>	FE	Inhabits stabilized dunes along the San Joaquin River.	None : No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
vernal pool fairy shrimp, <i>Branchinecta lynchi</i>	FT	Endemic to the grasslands of the central valley, central coast mountains, and south coast mountains, in astatic rain-filled pools.	None : No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
vernal pool tadpole shrimp, <i>Lepidurus packardi</i>	FE	Inhabits vernal pools and swales in the Sacramento valley containing clear to highly turbid water.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
		Fish	
Delta smelt, <i>Hypomesus</i> <i>transpacificus</i>	CE, FT	Tidally influenced areas of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.	Moderate: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.
Longfin smelt, <i>Spirinchus thaleichthys</i>	СТ	Found in the open water of freshwater and saltwater estuaries.	Moderate: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.
		Amphibians	



Species Name	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence
California red-legged frog, <i>Rana draytonii</i>	CDFG, FT	Associated with quiet perennial to intermittent freshwater ponds, stream pools and wetlands. Prefers shorelines with extensive vegetation; may disperse through upland habitats after rains.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
California tiger salamander, <i>Ambystoma californiense</i>	FT	Lowland species restricted to annual grasslands and foothill oak savanna and woodland regions where its breeding habitat occurs. Breeding habitat consists of temporary ponds or pools, some permanent waters, and rarely slower portions of streams.	None: No suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.
		Reptiles	
Giant garter snake, Thamnophis gigas	CT, FT	Prefers freshwater wetland and low gradient streams. This species has adapted to drainage canals and irrigation ditches.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. Has occurred along the San Joaquin River within 3 miles east of the Project. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs are put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.



Species Name	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence
Northern California legless lizard, <i>Anniella pulchra</i>	CNDDB	Inhabits sparsely vegetated areas of beach dunes, chaparral, pine- oak woodlands, desert scrub, sandy washes, and stream terraces with sycamores, cottonwoods, or oaks.	Low: According to CNDDB, species occurred in Study Area historically (1940's) and is presumed extant. However, very little suitable habitat for this species exists on the proposed development area.
Western pond turtle, <i>Emys marmorata</i>	CNDDB	Prefers rivers, creeks, small lakes and ponds, marshes, irrigation ditches, and reservoirs.	Moderate: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. According to the CNDDB, the species has been observed within about 0.5 mile downstream and upstream of the Project. If present, pond turtles may use uncompacted soils and organic litter adjacent to the Project for nesting or estivation, including the site's uncompacted fill soils observed around the Project edge.
		Mammals	
Salt marsh harvest mouse, <i>Reithrodontomys</i> <i>raviventris</i>	FE, CE	Prefers salt and brackish marshes.	Unlikely: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area may provide potential habitat, however species prefers pickleweed marshes. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.



Species Name	Status ¹	Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence						
San Joaquin kit fox, <i>Vulpes macrotis mutica</i>	FE	Prefers open habitat such as grasslands, scrublands, and meadows.	Unlikely: Nearest CNDDB record is almost 3 miles to the south. Species can use small remnants of habitat within urban development; however extensive burrow systems of the right size (> 7 inches) were not observed.						
Birds									
Burrowing owl, <i>Athene</i> <i>cunicularia</i>	CNDDB	Breeds in grasslands, rangelands, agricultural areas, deserts, vacant lots in urbans areas, or any other open, dry area with low vegetation.	Low: Most soils are compacted or paved within the Project; however some pre- existing burrows exist in Study Area. Ground squirrels were not observed during the field survey. The nearest CNDDB record is within 1 mile, but species may be extirpated. More recent occurrences within about 2 miles have been recorded in eBird (eBird 2023)						
California Ridgeway's rail, Rallus obsoletus obsoletus [R. longirostris obsoletus]	FE	Breeds in clumps of vegetation or in shrubs in tidal salt and brackish marshes.	Low: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project. Nearest CNDDB record is 8 miles west.						
California least tern, <i>Sterna</i> antillarum browni	FE	Nests on the ground in beaches, mudflats, and sand dunes, usually near shallow estuaries and lagoons with access to the near open ocean.	None: No suitable nesting habitat for this species exists on the proposed development areas or adjacent land.						
Suisun song sparrow, <i>Melospiza melodia</i> <i>maxillaris</i>	CNDDB	Nests in tidal salt and brackish marshes.	Moderate: Wetlands mapped in the Study Area provide potential habitat. Nearest CNDDB record is within 0.5 mile. If industrial stormwater controls and BMPs are put in place, this species would not be affected by the Project.						
White-tailed kite, <i>Elanus</i> <i>leucurus</i>	CFP	Nests in open-country trees growing in isolation, or at the edge of or within a forest.	Low: There are a few potential nest trees along the edges of the study area. No nests were observed in any of the trees.						



Status:

F = Federal; C = California; CFP = California Fully Protected Species; T = Threatened; E = Endangered;
 R = Rare; C = Candidate; CNPS = California Native Plant Society RPR 1–4;
 CDFG = California Department of Fish and Game Species of Concern;
 CNDDB = tracked by CNDDB, but no formal status



Wetland and Waterbody Delineation Report

October 6, 2023

Renewable Hydrogen Production Project

Prepared for:

HC (Contra Costa) Concord, CA

Prepared by:

TRC Fort Collins, CO





Table of Contents

	Acror	yms and Abbreviations	ii
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Project Location	1
2.0	REG	JLATORY BACKGROUND	1
3.0	SUR	EY METHODS	3
	3.1	Desktop Review	3
	3.2	Wetlands	3
	3.3	Waterbodies	4
4.0	RES	ILTS	4
	4.1	Precipitation	4
	4.2	Land Use and Vegetation	5
	4.3	Soils	6
	4.4	Wetlands and Waterbodies	6
		4.4.1 Desktop Analysis	6
		4.4.2 Wetlands	7
		4.4.3 Waterbodies	7
5.0	CON	CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	7
6.0	REFI	RENCES	8

Tables

Table 1. Rainfall Summary for Contra Costa County	. 5
Table 2. Mapped Soils in the Project Area	. 6

Appendices

Appendix A. Figures Appendix B. Data Forms and Representative Photographs



Acronyms and Abbreviations

Notation	Definition
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CWA	Clean Water Act
FAC	Facultative Wetland Plant
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps
GPS	Global Positioning System
MLRA	Major Land Resource Area
NHD	National Hydrography Dataset
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
OHWM	Ordinary high-water mark
Project	H Cycle LLC's Renewable Hydrogen Production Project
Project Area	Location of H Cycle LLC's Renewable Hydrogen Production Project
RWQCB	California Regional Water Quality Control Board
TRC	TRC Environmental Corporation
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WETS	Climate Analysis for Wetlands Tables
WOTUS	Waters of the U.S.



1.0 Introduction

TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) conducted a wetland and waterbody delineation for HC (Contra Costa), LLC (HC [Contra Costa]) for a potential new renewable hydrogen production facility in Pittsburg, California, referred to herein as the Renewable Hydrogen Production Project (Project). A wetlands and waterbodies investigation was conducted on August 25, 2023 by Tanessa Hartwig.

The objective of the wetland and waterbody investigation was to identify and delineate the spatial extent and location of wetlands, streams, and other aquatic resources within the Project Area (Figure 1). Aquatic resources that are considered Waters of the U.S. are subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The jurisdictional regulatory authority of these resources is the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) San Francisco District (San Francisco Regulatory Office) and the San Francisco Bay (2) Regional Water Quality Control Board. In addition to the federal authority, project actions that have the potential to alter or impact wetlands, waters, and/or riparian zones in the state of California must also comply with California Fish and Game Code Rule 1602.

This report summarizes the:

- desktop analyses performed prior to the field survey,
- results of the wetland and waterbody field investigation, and
- provides TRC's professional opinion on the expected jurisdictional status of any delineated features in the Project Area.

1.1 Project Location

The Project is located in the City of Pittsburg, Contra Costa County, California. Pittsburg is an industrial area located south of Suisun Bay in the San Francisco Bay Area's East Bay region. The Project is located within Township 2 North, Range 1 East, Section 3. The Project Area encompasses approximately 24 acres of an inactive industrial facility (Figure 1, Appendix A).

2.0 Regulatory Background

Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) are protected under Section 404 of the CWA. Any activity that involves the discharge of dredged or fill material into Waters of the U.S. is subject to regulation by the USACE. WOTUS are defined to encompass navigable waterways; interstate waters; all other waters where their use, degradation, or destruction could affect interstate or foreign commerce; tributaries of any of these waters; and wetlands that meet any of these criteria or are adjacent to any of these waters or their tributaries. Wetlands and waters were identified and delineated pursuant to the EPA definition for WOTUS in effect at the time of the field investigation (August 25, 2023). On August 29, 2023, the EPA revised the definition of WOTUS based on the U.S. Supreme Court ruling in the *Sackett v. Environmental Protection Agency*. Results of the field investigation as they pertain to the August 29, 2023 revised WOTUS rule will be discussed in the Results below.

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) regulates activities that could degrade water quality including stormwater discharges from construction, industrial, and municipal activities, dredge and fill activities, and the CWA Section 401 certification program. A CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification is required for dredged or fill material into Waters



of the U.S. All waters within the State of California are subject to State jurisdiction and the RWQCB can, and typically does, require a State permit for dredge or fill in State waters if they do not otherwise have authority under the federal CWA Section 401. Waters of the State are defined as

any surface water or groundwater, including saline waters, within the boundaries of the state. Waters of the state includes all waters of the U.S. The following wetlands are waters of the state:

- 1. Natural wetlands,
- 2. Wetlands created by modification of a surface water of the state, and
- 3. artificial wetlands that meet any of the following criteria:
 - a. Approved by an agency as compensatory mitigation for impacts to other waters of the state, except where the approving agency explicitly identifies the mitigation as being of limited duration;
 - b. Specifically identified in a water quality control plan as a wetland or other water of the state;
 - c. Resulted from historic human activity, is not subject to ongoing operation and maintenance, and has become a relatively permanent part of the natural landscape; or
 - d. Greater than or equal to one acre in size, unless the artificial wetland was constructed, and is currently used and maintained, primarily for one or more of the following purposes (i.e., the following artificial wetlands are not waters of the state unless they also satisfy the criteria set forth in 2, 3a, or 3b):
 - *i.* Industrial or municipal wastewater treatment or disposal,
 - ii. Settling of sediment,
 - iii. Detention, retention, infiltration, or treatment of stormwater runoff and other pollutants or runoff subject to regulation under a municipal, construction, or industrial stormwater permitting program,
 - iv. Treatment of surface waters,
 - v. Agricultural crop irrigation or stock watering,
 - vi. Fire suppression,
 - vii. Industrial processing or cooling,
 - viii. Active surface mining even if the site is managed for interim wetlands functions and values

California Fish and Game Code Section 1602 requires any person, state, or local governmental agency or public utility to notify the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) before beginning any activity that will do one or more of the following: (1) substantially obstruct or divert the natural flow of a river, stream, or lake; (2) substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel, or bank of a river, stream, or lake; or (3) deposit or dispose of debris, waste, or other material containing crumbled, flaked, or ground pavement where it can pass into a river,



stream, or lake. CDFW's regulatory authority extends to include riparian habitat (including wetlands) supported by a river, stream, or lake regardless of the presence or absence of hydric soils and saturated soil conditions. Impacts that meet the requirements listed above for CDFW regulation would require permitting through CDFW.

3.0 Survey Methods

3.1 Desktop Review

Prior to conducting the field investigation, TRC reviewed the following sources publicly available natural resources datasets:

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) digital wetland mapping portal (USFWS 2023),
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) digital waterway mapping portal (USGS 2023),
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey (USDA NRCS 2023),
- USGS digital 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle map for Antioch North, 38121-A7 (USGS 1978),
- FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) 06013C0138G and 06013C0139G (FEMA 2015)
- Google Earth current and historic aerial imagery (Google 2023)

TRC screened aerial and historic imagery, ranging from 1939-2023, for indicators of hydrologic activity and saturation (wetness signatures) which could indicate the presence of unmapped wetlands (Google Earth Pro 2023). In addition, TRC reviewed precipitation data from approximately 90 days prior to the field investigations using data obtained from a nearby weather stations (Concord Buchanan Field, California). Antecedent precipitation data were compared with the 30-year average precipitation data from the same location to determine if hydrologic conditions at the time of the field investigation were normal, wetter, or drier than normal (NOAA 2022).

3.2 Wetlands

The wetland delineation and determination was conducted in accordance with the *United States Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual Technical Report* Y-87-1 (USACE 1987), the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region Version 2.0* (USACE 2008), and relevant guidance documents (USACE 1991a, b; 1992).

On-site wetland determinations were made using the three criteria (vegetation, soil, and hydrology) and technical approach defined in the Regional Supplement. According to the procedures described therein, areas that, under normal circumstances, reflect a predominance of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology (e.g., inundated or saturated soils) are considered wetlands. The geospatial boundary of each wetland was mapped using a Global Positioning System (GPS)-enabled tablet. Each wetland feature was assigned a unique feature identification number with a "W" prefix. A Wetland Determination Data Form was completed for each wetland and its associated upland data point. Upland data points were



assigned a unique feature ID number with a "U" prefix. Representative photos were taken of all identified features.

Wetlands and waters were identified and delineated pursuant to the EPA definition for WOTUS in effect at the time of the field investigation (August 25, 2023). The USACE criteria for determining regulatory jurisdiction of wetlands and waters was revised on August 29, 2023. The revisions for wetlands evaluate its physical connection to a jurisdictional water but remove the significant nexus criteria. Wetlands identified as having no downstream connection to jurisdictional waters are deemed non-jurisdictional by the USACE. Wetlands may still be jurisdictional and regulated by the State of California under California Fish and Game Code Rule 1602. Aerial imagery was used to supplement field observations for the determination of downstream connectivity where public access was not available outside the Project Area.

For NWI-identified features where wetland indicators were absent, sample plots and/or representative photos were taken. These areas are classified as uplands.

3.3 Waterbodies

Based on the USACE's *A Field Guide to the Identification of the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) in the Arid West Region of the Western United States* (Lichvar and McColley 2008), delineated waterbodies were identified by the presence of bed, bank, and/or other OHWM indicators. Common identifiable indicators of an OHWM include open water or evidence of a clear, natural line visible on the bank; shelving; changes in soil characteristics; disturbance to, or lack of, terrestrial vegetation; presence of litter and debris; and watermarks indicative of inundation during high water conditions. The OHWM typically represents the potential limits of USACE jurisdiction.

The geospatial boundary of each waterbody was mapped using a GPS-enabled tablet. Each waterbody feature was assigned a unique feature identification number with an "S" prefix. An Arid West Ephemeral and Intermittent Stream OHWM Datasheet was completed for each feature. Representative photos were taken of all identified features.

For NWI- and NHD-identified stream features where OHWM indicators were absent, photo points and representative photos were taken. These areas are classified as uplands.

4.0 Results

Desktop review and field survey results are presented in the following discussion.

4.1 Precipitation

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Agricultural Applied Climate Information System was used to obtain historical and antecedent rainfall data for the USDA NRCS Climate Analysis for Wetlands (WETS) Tables and NOAA Regional Climate Centers. Historical rainfall records from the Concord Buchanan Field, California weather station were used to determine the normality of rainfall prior to and during the field survey. Normality of precipitation was evaluated using the Direct Antecedent Rainfall Evaluation Method (Table 1; NOAA 2022). The region of Contra Costa County was determined to be drier than normal for the August 2023 field survey.



			WETS Rainfall Percentile (inches)		Evaluation Month: August 2023				
Prior Month		30 th	70 th	Measured Rainfall (inches)	Condition ^a	Month Weight⁵	Score ^c		
Three m	nonths	prior to Au	gust 25 th , 202	23, Survey	Date				
1 st		July	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	3	3	
2 nd		June	0.00	0.04	0.01*	1	2	2	
3 rd May		0.10	0.34	0.46	3	1	3		
Sum					0.47			8	
Description ^d Dry									

Table 1. Rainfall Summary for Contra Costa County

^a Condition values are 1 for < 30th percentile, 2 for between 30th and 70th percentiles, and 3 for > 70th percentile.

^b Month Weight is 3 for the most recent month, 2 for the prior month, and so on.

^c Score is the product of the Condition and Month Weight values.

^d Drier than normal (sum of score = 6-9), normal (sum of score = 10-14), wetter than normal (sum of score = 15-18). *These months had trace amounts of precipitation.

Source: NOAA 2022, NOAA 2023; Site: Concord Buchanan Field, California.

4.2 Land Use and Vegetation

The Project Area is located on privately owned land in the industrial zone of the City of Pittsburg. The property is built out with an inactive industrial facility and rail yard. Surrounding land use includes developed industrial lands to the south and west of the Project Area. The New York Slough, Corteva Wetlands Preserve, and Kirker Creek/Dowest Slough are estuarine wetlands present immediately adjacent to the north, east, and west of the Project Area.

The Project Area is developed with gravel and impervious work areas, rail unloading sidings, industrial process equipment, paved vehicle staging and storage lots, and office structures. Above-ground industrial stormwater containment and treatment controls are not observably present.

Vegetation within the Project Area is primarily ruderal weeds and invasive species that include alkali mallow (*Malvella leprosa*), bristly ox-tongue* (*Helminthotheca echioides*), Canada horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis*), fivehorn smotherweed* (*Bassia hyssopifolia*) sea fig* (*Carpobrotus chilensis*), stinkwort* (*Dittrichia graveolens*), telegraph weed (*Heterotheca grandiflora*), and Mexican fan palm* (*Washingtonia robusta*). Coyote brush (*Baccharis pilularis*) was also observed within the project site. A combination of native and non-native vegetation is located along the Site's north border, just outside of the project area. Native shrubs and trees include coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*) and coyote brush. Non-native shrubs and herbs include Himalayan blackberry* (*Rubus armeniacus*), short podded mustard* (*Hirschfeldia*)



incana) and perennial pepperweed* (*Lepidium latifolium*). Non-native plants are marked with an asterisk.

The topography of the Project Area is flat and appears to be built on fill material above the elevation of the surrounding estuarine wetlands (Google Earth Pro 2023). The elevation of the Project Area is approximately 10 to 25 feet above mean sea level. The Project Area is located within the Level III region of Central California Foothills and Coastal Mountains and Level IV Ecoregion of Suisun Terraces and Low Hills (USGS 2016). The Central California Foothills and Coastal Mountains ecoregion is characterized as having a Mediterranean climate of hot dry summers and cool moist winters. The vegetation associated with this ecoregion is primarily chaparral and oak woodlands. Suisun Terraces and Low Hills ecoregion occurs on mostly Quaternary alluvium, surrounding Suisun Bay, upland from the lower part of the Delta (USGS 2016).

4.3 Soils

According to the NRCS Soil Survey map, three mapped soil units are located within the Project Area (Table 2; Figure 3, Appendix A). The National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils defines hydric soils as "a soil that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part." The major and minor components of a soil map unit are classified as to how likely they are to be hydric and are rated on a range from hydric to nonhydric. The Joice muck soil series is classified as hydric and not prime farmland. The remaining soils are classified as nonhydric and prime farmland if irrigated.

Map Unit Symbol	Soil Series Name	Drainage Class	Prime Farmland	Hydric Rating	% of Project Area
Cc	Clear Lake clay, 0 to 15 percent slopes, MLRA* 15	Poorly drained	Prime farmland if irrigated	No*	43
Ja	Joice muck, MLRA 16	Very poorly drained	Not prime farmland	Yes	4
RbC	Rincon clay loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes, MLRA 14	Well drained	Prime farmland if irrigated	No	53

Table 2. Mapped Soils in the Project Area

*MLRA: Major Land Resource Area

4.4 Wetlands and Waterbodies

4.4.1 Desktop Analysis

According to the NWI and NHD data sets, no wetlands or waters occur within the Project Area. The NWI maps estuarine wetlands immediately adjacent to the north, east, and west of the



Project Area (Figure 2, Appendix A; USFWS 2023; USGS 2023). A review of Google Earth aerial imagery shows the estuarine wetlands as well as Kirker Creek / Dowest Slough watercourse (Google Earth Pro 2023).

4.4.2 Wetlands

The field survey found no wetlands in the Project Area. Off-site estuarine wetlands are present within 150 feet to the north, east, and west of the Project Area. One sample plot was completed in a low-lying depression within the Project Area to confirm the presence/absence of the three wetland criteria in the depression (Appendix A, Figure 4). The plot did not have hydrophytic vegetation or hydric soil and was determined to not be a wetland. Representative photos are included in Appendix B. Representative photo locations are shown in Appendix A, Figure 4.

4.4.3 Waterbodies

Field surveys identified no jurisdictional watercourses within the Project Area (Appendix A, Figure 4). The field survey identified Kirker Creek and Dowest Slough immediately west of the Project Area. Representative photos are included in Appendix B.

A non-jurisdictional ditch was observed on the southeast side of the Project Site. The ditch was concave in form and seemed to drain water from both the north and south into a culverted area. No OHWM, bed and bank, change in soil characteristics, or other physical indicators were observed within the ditch. Some perennial pepperweed (Facultative Wetland Plant [FAC]) was observed in the lower elevations of the ditch.

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

A wetland and waterbody field investigation was conducted on August 25th, 2023. This region of Contra Costa County was calculated to have received below normal precipitation for the three months prior to the field survey. Potential impacts to on-site and off-site identified wetlands and waterbodies will be evaluated during the Project design and permitting phase.

Based on field observations, no wetlands or waterbodies were identified within the Project Area. As such, the Project is not anticipated to be subject to U.S. Clean Water Act Section 404 regulation. A consultation with the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board and CDFW is recommended to determine state jurisdiction and permitting requirements for the adjacent off-site wetlands and waterbodies.



6.0 References

- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2015. Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) 06013C0138G and 06013C0139G effective September 30, 2015. Accessible at: https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home
- Google Earth Pro. 2023. Historic aerial imagery 1939 through 2022 of 38°1'11.16"N, 121°51'12.61"W. Accessed September 2023.
- Lichvar, Robert W. and S. M. McColley. 2008. A Field Guide to the Identification of the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) in the Arid West Region of the Western United States. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Regulatory Assistance Program.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2023. AgACIS for Contra Costa County, CA. Accessed September, 2023 from: <u>AgACIS (rcc-acis.org)</u>
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2008. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region Version 2.0, ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- USACE. 1992. "Clarification and Interpretation of the 1987 Manual," Memorandum from Major General Arthur E. Williams. March 6, 1992.
- USACE. 1991a. "Questions & Answers on the 1987 Manual," Memorandum from John F. Studt. October 7, 1991.
- USACE. 1991b. "Implementation of the 1987 Corps Wetland Delineation Manual," Memorandum from John P. Elmore. August 27, 1991.
- USACE. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Wetlands Research Program, Technical Report Y-87-1. Vicksburg, MS. January 1987 - Final Report. 92 pp.+ app.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2023. Web Soil Survey. Accessed September 2023 from: <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</u>
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2023. National Wetlands Inventory Wetlands Mapper. Accessed September 2023 from: <u>https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html</u>
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2023. National Hydrography Dataset (NHD). Accessed September 2023 from: https://www.usgs.gov/core-science-systems/ngp/nationalhydrography/
- USGS. 2016. Ecoregions of California. Accessed on September 2022 at: CA_eco_posterfront_Final_to_SPN_Feb2016_v16 (ecologicalregions.info)
- USGS. 1978. Antioch North, 38121-A7, 1:24,000, National Geospatial Technical Operations Center.

Appendix A. Figures

Appendix B. Data Forms and Representative Photographs









WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Arid West Region City/County: Pittsburg, Contra Costa Sampling Date: 2023-08-25

Project/Site: H Cycle Corteva site	City/County:	Pittsburg, Contra Co	sta Sampling Date	2023-08-25	
Applicant/Owner: H Cycle	—	county	State: Californ	ia Sampling Point:	W-TSH-01_UPL-1
Investigator(s): Tanessa Hartwig, TSH			Section, Township, Rang	ge: 2 North, 1 East	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depres	ssion	Local relief (concave, convex, none): C	oncave	Slope (%): 1 to 3
Subregion (LRR): LRR C			Lat: 38.0216827298	Long: -121.8445567042	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Clear Lake clay, 0 to 1	5 percent slopes, N	ILRA 15		NWI classification:	None
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the sit	e typical for this tin	ne of year? Yes _	🖌 No (If no, explain	in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or H	ydrology signi	ficantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circu	umstances" present?	Yes 🖌 No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or H	ydrology natu	rally problematic?	(If needed, explai	n any answers in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach	site map showii	ng sampling poi	nt locations, transect	s, important features	, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No 🟒			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No 🟒			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🟒	No I:	s the Sampled Area within	a Wetland?	Yes No _✓
Remarks:		•			
Covertype is UPL. Area is upland, not all thi	ree wetland parame	eters are present. En	tire site is historically distu	irbed but does not appear t	o be

Covertype is UPL. Area is upland, not all three wetland parameters are present. Entire site is historically disturbed but does not appear to be recently disturbed..

VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test	worksheet:			
1			Status	Number of Domi Are OBL, FACW, o	nant Species or FAC:	That	0	(A)
2.				Total Number of	Dominant Sn	ecies —		
3				Across All Strata:	Bonniant Sp	ceres	1	(B)
4	0	= Total Cover		Percent of Domir Are OBL, FACW, c	nant Species ⁻ or FAC:	That	0	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Prevalence Index	worksheet:			
1.				Total IV Ca		N 4	leimh (Dra	
2.				<u>10tal % Co</u>	ver or:	<u>iviu</u>	шріу ву:	
3.				OBL species	0	x 1 =	0	
4.				FACW species	0	x 2 =	0	
5.				FAC species	0	x 3 =	0	
	0	= Total Cover			6	× 4 -		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>3 meters</u>)				-	0	X 4 -		
1. Euphorbia maculata	30	Yes	UPL	UPL species	36	x 5 =	180	
2. Baccharis pilularis	6	No	UPL	Column Totals	42	(A)	204 (B)	
3. Erigeron canadensis	6	No	FACU	Prevalence I	ndex = B/A =	4	.9	_
4. <i>Carex sp.</i>	3	No	NI					
5.				Hydrophytic vege	etation indica	tors:		
6.				Dominance	Test is >50%			
7.				Prevalence	Index is ≤ 3.0)1		
8.				Morphologi	ical Adaptatio	n¹ (Provic	le supportin	g data
	45	= Total Cover		in Remarks or on	i a separate s	heet)	e supportin	5 data
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size:)				Problematio	c Hydrophytic	Vegetati	on¹ (Explain)	
1.				Indicators of by	dric soil and y	etland by	udrology mu	st ha
2.				present, unless d	listurbed or p	roblemat	ic	51.00
	0	= Total Cover		Hydrophytic Veg	etation			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum50	% Cover of Bio	otic Crust	0	Present?		Yes	No 🟒	
Demention								

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-TSH-01_UPL-1

-	Matrix		Redox	Feature	25			_	
nches)	Color (moist)	<u> </u>	Color (moist)		Type ¹	Loc ²		Texture	Remarks
- 12	10YR 8/3	100		0		<u> </u>		Loam	
						<u> </u>			
						<u> </u>			
		<u> </u>							
pe: C	= Concentration. D = [Depletion, F	M = Reduced Matrix	. CS = C	overed o	r Coated	Sand Grains. ² L	ocation: PL = Pore Lining	, M = Matrix.
dric So	oil Indicators: (Applical	ble to all LR	Rs, unless otherwise	noted.)		ndicators for Pr	oblematic Hydric Soils ³ :	,
His	tosol (A1)		Sandy Redox	(S5)	,				
His	tic Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Mate	'ix (S6)			1 cm Muck		
Bla	ck Histic (A3)		Loamy Mucky	Minera	al (F1)	-	2 cm Muck	(A10) (I RR R)	
Hyd	lrogen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	l Matrix	(F2)	-	2 chi Mdck	ertic (F18)	
Stra	atified Layers (A5) (LRF	₹C)	Depleted Mat	rix (F3)		-	Red Parent	Material (TF2)	
1 cr	n Muck (A9) (LRR D)	C (144)	Redox Dark S	urface ((F6)	-	Other (Exp	lain in Remarks)	
Dep	Dieted Below Dark Sur	face (A11)	Depleted Dar	K Surfa	ce (F7)	-	Indicators of hy	drophytic vegetation and	d wetland hydrology must b
IIII Sar	.K Dark Surface (ATZ) dv Mucky Mineral (S1)	Vernal Pools	FOUR (I	F8)		present, unless	disturbed or problematic	
5uiii	dy Gleved Matrix (SA)	/	Verharr 0013 (1.5)					
San	NEVEN MOUNT (34)								
sar.	e Laver (if present):					<u> </u>			
Sar strictiv	re Layer (if present): Type:		Rock			Hvdric S	ioil Present?		Yes No 🗸
Sar strictiv	re Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches):		Rock			Hydric S	oil Present?		Yes No∕_
sar strictiv marks	re Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches):		Rock 12			Hydric S	oil Present?		Yes No _ 🗸
sar strictiv marks positi	ve indication of hydrid		Rock 12 Dbserved			Hydric S	ioil Present?		Yes No _∕
	ve Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): ve indication of hydric LOGY	c soils was o	Rock 12 observed			Hydric S	ioil Present?		Yes No <u>_ ∕</u>
sar strictiv marks positi DRO etland	ve indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators:	c soils was c	Rock 12 observed	apply)		Hydric S	ioil Present?	Secondary Indicators (2	Yes No
strictiv marks p positi DRO etland mary I	Pre Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): Ve indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1)	c soils was o	Rock 12 observed juired; check all that	apply)		Hydric S	ioil Present?	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (81)	Yes No/
Sar strictiv marks p positi DRO etland mary I Sur Hig	Pre Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): Ve indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2)	z soils was o	Rock 12 bserved juired; check all that Bioti	apply) Irust (B		Hydric S	ioil Present?	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit	Yes No/ cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)
Sar strictiv marks p positi DRO etland mary I Sur Sati	Logy (if present): Type: Depth (inches): ive indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3)	z soils was o	Rock 12 bserved juired; check all thatSaltSaltSaltAqua	apply) Crust (B c Crust itic Inve	111) (B12) rttebrates	Hydric S	ioil Present?	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits Drift Deposits (B3)	Yes No/ cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine) (Riverine)
marks positi DRO tland mary I Sur Hig Satu Wai	Pe Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): We indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) :er Marks (B1) (Nonriv	2 soils was o	Rock 12 bserved uired; check all that Salt Salt Aqua Hydi	apply) Crust (B c Crust itic Inve ogen Si	311) (B12) ertebrates ulfide Od	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1)	ioil Present?	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns	Yes No/ e or more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) ; (B10)
<u>strictiv</u> marks positi DRO etland imary I Satu Satu Satu Satu Satu Satu	Pe Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): Ve indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) ver Marks (B1) (Nonriv iment Deposits (B2) (I	2 soils was o	Rock 12 bbserved uired; check all that	apply) Crust (E c Crust itic Inve ogen Si ized Rh	311) (B12) ertebrates ulfide Od izosphere	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv	ioil Present?	Secondary Indicators (2) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water	Yes No/ cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) ; (B10) : Table (C2)
<u>sar</u> estrictiv popositi vDRO etland imary I Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur	Pre Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): We indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) Yer Marks (B1) (Nonriv iment Deposits (B2) (I 't Deposits (B3) (Nonri	2 soils was o 2f one is rec verine) Vonriverine verine)	Rock 12 bbserved puired; check all thatSalt 'iSalt 'iAquaHydn)OxidPres	apply) Crust (B c Crust itic Inve ogen S ized Rh ence of	311) (B12) ertebrates ulfide Od izosphere Reduced	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4	ing Roots (C3)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Yes No cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) ; (B10) : Table (C2) (C8)
<u>sar</u> strictiv emarks o positi o positi etland imary I Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur	Loger (if present): Type: Depth (inches): ive indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) ter Marks (B1) (Nonriv iment Deposits (B2) (I 't Deposits (B3) (Nonri face Soil Cracks (B6)	2 soils was o 2f one is rec verine) Vonriverine verine)	Rock 12 bbserved uired; check all that Salt Aqua Aqua Aqua Pres Rece	apply) Crust (B c Crust itic Inve ogen S ized Rh ence of nt Iron	(B12) ertebrates ulfide Od izosphero Reduced Reductio	s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4 n in Tille	ing Roots (C3)) d Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Saturation Visible	Yes No/ cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) ; (B10) : Table (C2) (C8) on Aerial Imagery (C9)
sar strictiv p positi Sur Sar	Pe Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): We indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) ter Marks (B1) (Nonriv liment Deposits (B2) (I 't Deposits (B3) (Nonri face Soil Cracks (B6) ndation Visible on Aer	erine) Vonriverine verine) ial Imagery	Rock 12 beserved puired; check all that	apply) Crust (E c Crust itic Inve ogen Si ized Rh ence of nt Iron Muck S	(B12) ertebrates ulfide Od izosphere Reduced Reductio Gurface (C	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4 n in Tille 7)	ing Roots (C3)) d Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Saturation Visible Shallow Aquitard (Yes No/ Cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) ; (B10) : Table (C2) (C8) on Aerial Imagery (C9) (D3)
sar strictiv p positi 	Pe Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): We indication of hydrid LOGY Hydrology Indicators: ndicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) ter Marks (B1) (Nonriv liment Deposits (B2) (I 't Deposits (B3) (Nonri face Soil Cracks (B6) ndation Visible on Aer :er-Stained Leaves (B9)	2 soils was (2f one is rec verine) Vonriverine verine) ial Imagery))	Rock 12 >bbserved quired; check all that	apply) Crust (E c Crust ized Rh ence of nt Iron Muck S r (Expla	(B12) ertebrates ulfide Od izosphere Reduced Reductio Gurface (C ain in Ren	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4 n in Tille 7) narks)	ing Roots (C3)) d Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Saturation Visible Shallow Aquitard (FAC-Neutral Test (Yes No/ Cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) ; (B10) : Table (C2) (C8) on Aerial Imagery (C9) (D3) D5)
sar strictiv positi 	Pre Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): Pre Loger (if present): Provide the second	2 soils was (2f one is rec verine) Nonriverine verine) ial Imagery)	Rock 12 bbserved uired; check all that	apply) Crust (B c Crust itic Inve ogen S ized Rh ence of nt Iron Muck S r (Expla	(B12) ertebrates ulfide Od izosphere Reduced Reductio isurface (C ain in Ren	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4 n in Tille 7) narks)	ing Roots (C3)) d Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Saturation Visible Shallow Aquitard (FAC-Neutral Test (Yes No Cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) (B10) : Table (C2) (C8) on Aerial Imagery (C9) (D3) D5)
Sar strictiv marks p positi positi ctland imary [] Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur Sur	A provide the second s	rerine) Nonriverine iverine) ial Imagery)	Rock 12 bbserved uired; check all thatSaltSaltSaltAquaHydnPresRecce (B7)ThinOthe esNo	apply) Crust (B c Crust itic Inve ogen S ized Rh ence of nt Iron Muck S r (Expla	(B12) (B12) ertebrates ulfide Od izospheri Reduced Reduced Reduced curface (C ain in Ren repth (inc	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4 n in Tille 7) narks) hes):	ing Roots (C3)) d Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) (Sediment Deposit Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Saturation Visible Shallow Aquitard (FAC-Neutral Test (Yes No Cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) : (B10) : Table (C2) (C8) on Aerial Imagery (C9) (D3) D5)
Sar strictiv marks p positi (DRO etland imary 1 Sur SUR S	A y Greyed Matrix (34) re Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): ve indication of hydrid UOGY Hydrology Indicators: Indicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) ter Marks (B1) (Nonriv liment Deposits (B2) (I t Deposits (B3) (Nonri face Soil Cracks (B6) ndation Visible on Aer ter-Stained Leaves (B9 iervations: Vater Present? ble Present?	c soils was o of one is reo verine) Nonriverine iverine) ial Imagery)) Y	Rock 12 bbserved quired; check all that	apply) Crust (E c Crust itic Inve ogen Sr ized Rh ized Rh ized Rh ized Rh ized Rh Inve Str (Expla	(B12) (B12) ritebrates ulfide Od izosphere Reductio curface (C ain in Ren eepth (inc	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4 n in Tille 7) narks) hes):	ing Roots (C3)) d Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Saturation Visible Shallow Aquitard (FAC-Neutral Test (Wetland Hydrology Pre	Yes No _✓ Cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) : (B10) : Table (C2) (C8) on Aerial Imagery (C9) (D3) D5) sent? Yes _✓ No
<pre>Sar estrictiv emarks o positi //DRO</pre>	A y Greyed Matrix (34) re Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): Ve indication of hydrid Ve indication of hydrid Ve indication of hydrid Ve indicators (minimum of face Water (A1) h Water Table (A2) uration (A3) ter Marks (B1) (Nonriv liment Deposits (B2) (I 't Deposits (B3) (Nonri face Soil Cracks (B6) ndation Visible on Aer ter-Stained Leaves (B9 iervations: Vater Present? ble Present? n Present?	c soils was o of one is reo verine) Nonriverine iverine) rial Imagery)) Y Y	Rock 12 bbserved quired; check all that	apply) Crust (E c Crust itic Inve ized Rh ence of nt Iron Muck S r (Expla D D	(B12) ertebrate: ulfide Od izospheri Reduced Reductio iurface (C ain in Ren uepth (inc uepth (inc uepth (inc	Hydric S s (B13) or (C1) es on Liv Iron (C4 n in Tille 7) harks) hes): hes):	ing Roots (C3)) d Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits Drift Deposits (B3) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Crayfish Burrows (Saturation Visible Shallow Aquitard (FAC-Neutral Test (Wetland Hydrology Pres	Yes No∕ Cor more required) (Riverine) s (B2) (Riverine)) (Riverine) (B10) Table (C2) (C8) on Aerial Imagery (C9) (D3) D5) sent? Yes No

Remarks:

depression with signs of water retention (see soil and vegetation photos). Aerial photography (10/2009-7/2023) depicts a darker signature in many years, but no standing water..

Vegetation Photos



reduced Baccharis pilularis



soil cracks and Euphorbia maculata



Soil Photos



soil from pit

soil crust close up

resource overview and soil crust

Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	Page No.	Client:	Project Name	
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	1 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production Project	

Photo 3: Non-jurisdictional ditch – looking north (Photo Date 8/25/2023)

Project No.	Photographs Taken By:	Page No.	Client:	Project Name	
557647	Tanessa Hartwig	2 of 3	H-Cycle	Renewable Hydrogen Production Project	

3 of 3

Tanessa Hartwig

H-Cycle

557647

 $\mathbf{\cdot}$

Renewable Hydrogen Production

Project

TR